



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/483,183	01/13/2000	Daniel R. Tretter	10980251-1	8066

7590

08/11/2003

Ip Administration  
Legal Department 20bn  
Hewlett-Packard Company  
P O Box 10301  
Palo Alto, CA 94303-0890

EXAMINER

BROWN, CHRISTOPHER J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2134

3

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/483,183

Applicant(s)

TRETTET ET AL.

Examiner

Christopher J. Brown

Art Unit

2134

**Period for Reply**  
-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 May 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 1/13/00 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 18 recites the limitation "the remote site" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9, 12, 15, 16, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan US 6,378,070 in view of Pouge Jr US 5,144,667.**

2. As per claim 1, Chan discloses a method using a printer to distribute a document stored on a server, the server being connected to a network, (col 2 lines 10-15). Chan discloses using the printer to decrypt the document, (Col 7 lines 45-48). Chan discloses using the printer to print the decrypted document, (Col 7 lines 50-51).  
Chan does not disclose using the printer and at least one key to establish a printer identity with the server.

Art Unit: 2134

Pouge Jr. discloses an authentication method that has a server (base) send an encrypted token to a printer (remote unit), (Col 4 line 64). Pouge Jr. discloses that the printer (remote unit) uses a decryption key to decrypt the token, and send the result back to the remote unit (base), (Col 5 lines 24-30). If the token from the printer matches the token at the remote unit, the printer is authenticated, and the identity is established.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chan's printing system with Pouge Jr's authentication system, because it provides a high level of security, (Pouge Jr. Col 1 line 43).

As per claim 3, Chan discloses that the printer identity is established by inserting a smart card, (Col 6 lines 58- Col 7 line 4).

As per claim 4, Chan discloses the smart card is used to perform the decryption, (Col 7 lines 52-54).

As per claim 5, Chan discloses an embedded processor (CPU), wherein the processor is used to perform decryption, (Col 4 lines 32-36).

As per claim 7, Chan discloses the printer is used to order the document, (Col 7 lines 13-19).

As per claim 9, Chan does not disclose authentication.

Pouge Jr. discloses authentication as a security measure. It would be obvious to authenticate the printer before documents were ordered.

As per claim 10, 23 Chan discloses using the printer to render (print) the decrypted document, (Col 7 lines 50-51).

Art Unit: 2134

As per claims 12, and 18, Chan discloses a remote print server with stored documents, (Col 2 line 20). Chan discloses sending a network printer with the means to read a decryption key, (Col 7 line 37). Chan discloses means for receiving an encrypted document from the network, (Col 7 line 45). Chan discloses using a decryption key to decrypt the document and print it, (Col 7 line 47).

Chan does not disclose receiving an encrypted token, decrypting it, and sending the decrypted token back to the remote site.

Pouge Jr. discloses an authentication method that has a remote site (base) send an encrypted token to a printer (remote unit), (Col 4 line 64). Pouge Jr. discloses that the printer (remote unit) uses a decryption key to decrypt the token, and send the result back to the remote unit (base), (Col 5 lines 24-30). If the token from the printer matches the token at the remote unit, the printer is authenticated.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chan's printing system with Pouge Jr's authentication system, because it provides a high level of security, (Pouge Jr. Col 1 line 43).

As per claim 15, Chan discloses a smart card that passes a cryptographic key to the printer, is able to decrypt a document, and pass the document to the printer, (Col 7, lines 38, 52).

As per claim 16, Chan discloses a smart card send a key to the printer so that an embedded processor (CPU), in the printer may perform the decryption, (Col 4 lines 32-36, Col 7 line 35).

As per claim 19, Chan discloses a network printer with a smart card reader, a network interface, and a processor, (Col 4 line 20). Chan discloses a memory for a program that directs the smart card to release a key so that the printer can decrypt a document obtained from the network, and print it, (Col 7 line 30).

As per claim 20, Chan discloses the printer has a keypad and display, where the document may be obtained using the keypad, (Col 7 lines 15-20).

**Claims 2, 11, 17, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan US 6,378,070 in view of Pougé Jr. US 5,144,667 and in view of Furman US 5,483,653.**

3. As per claim 2, and 22 Chan as modified above, discloses sending an encrypted document to a printer. Chan does not disclose a message that indicates the number of copies to be printed.

Furman discloses a message send with a document (job ticket) that indicates the number of copies to be printed, where the printer prints the number of document copies indicated in the message, (Col 6 lines 26-31, Col 7 lines 7-12, 32-35, Fig 3).

It would be obvious to modify Chan's encryption of documents with Furman's printer instructions because it provides the printer with needed instruction.

As per claim 11, 17, and 21, Chan discloses a printer with a status display. Chan does not disclose that the display shows the status of the copies printed.

Furman discloses that the user can determine the status of printing through the server, (Col 4 lines 20-24).

It would be obvious to modify Chan's print system with Furman's status screen because it provides lets the user know when his print jobs are complete.

**Claims 6, 8, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan US 6,378,070 in view of Pougé Jr. US 5,144,667 and in view of Peairs US 5,717,940.**

4. Chan as modified above shows the limitations of Claim 1.

As per claim 6, Chan does not disclose ordering a document before establishing printer identity.

Peairs discloses ordering a document without regard for printing. The printer identity is not established, (Col 2 lines 44-60).

As per claims 8, and 14, Chan does not disclose previewing at least one low quality document before ordering.

Peairs discloses a server that stores low quality document previews, (Col 4 lines 25-27, 57-59).

It would be obvious for one skilled in the art to modify Chan's printing system, with de Peairs preview system because seeing a preview allows a user to easily select the document they are seeking.

As per claim 13, Chan does not disclose using a client to order the document.

Peairs discloses using a client (through a network) to order a document, (Col 4 line 62).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the print system of Chan with the ordering system of Peairs to reduce the waiting time at the printer.

Art Unit: 2134

***Conclusion***

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher J Brown whose telephone number is 703-305-8023. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7239 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Christopher J. Brown



August 6, 2003



GREGORY MORSE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100